



Socioeconomic Status, Family Functioning and Delayed Care Among Children With Special Needs

Journal:	<i>Social Work in Public Health</i>
Manuscript ID	WHSP-2017-0130
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	social support, children with special needs, socioeconomic, delayed care

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Socioeconomic Status, Family Functioning and Delayed Care Among Children With Special Needs

Abstract

Parents of children with special healthcare needs (CSHCN) face tremendous stress in caring for their children. Families of CSHCN face increased barriers to health services as evidence also reflects the influence of socioeconomic factors on access. This study investigates the impact of socioeconomic factors and family functioning on delayed care. Descriptive, bivariate, and adjusted multivariate logistic regression were performed using sampling weights. Our findings suggest that family dynamics are more impactful on delayed care than socioeconomic predictors. Promoting family-centered care that incorporates social support for families to reduce barriers is essential for improved quality of life and health outcomes.

Keywords: social support, children with special needs, delayed care, socioeconomic

Introduction

An estimated 11.2 million children under the age of 18 in the US have special health care needs, representing about 23 percent of U.S. households with children that have at least one child with special health care needs (Caicedo, 2014; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services - Health Resources and Services Administration - Maternal and Child Health Bureau, 2013). The Bureau of Maternal and Child Health defines special healthcare needs (CSHCN) as; 1) a child who has a compendium of "chronic physical, developmental, behavioral, or emotional conditions that require health and related services of a type, or 2) amount of health and related services beyond that required by children generally" (Benevides, Carretta, & Mandell, 2016; Burns et al., 2010; Kuhlthau, Kahn, Hill, Gnanasekaran, & Ettner, 2010; D. Z. Kuo & Houtrow, 2016; Pollard et al., 2014). Children with special needs have long been designated a priority population for health care policy (D. Z. Kuo & Houtrow, 2016; McPherson et al., 1998; US Department of Health and Human Services, 1987), yet disparities in care remain. Health outcomes increasingly become more favorable for CSHCN in recent years, which in turn translates to population growth for adults with special health care needs in the long term (Okumura, Hersh, Hilton, & Lotstein, 2013).

Children with special health needs require special and constant access to medical services so that the child can develop into an otherwise healthy adult and maintain a quality of life with dignity. The range of health and medical services include therapies, home healthcare, prescription drugs, mental healthcare, medical equipment, and dental services (Rosen-Reynoso et al., 2016). Many children with special needs, healthcare services are either partially covered or not covered by insurance plans. This leaves many families to fund the remaining portion of healthcare costs. In additionally, most of the families have household incomes fall below the

1
2
3 85 federal poverty line. In particular, parents of children with activity limitations or special
4
5 86 healthcare needs have lower employment and increased work absences than other parents
6
7
8 87 (Kuhlthau et al., 2010). Furthermore, families of CSHCN experience greater financial stress than
9
10 88 families of children without CSHCN (Goudie, Narcisse, Hall, & Kuo, 2014). Additionally,
11
12 89 families of children with chronic conditions experience more out-of-pocket costs than families of
13
14
15 90 unaffected children (Kuhlthau et al., 2010; Resch et al., 2010). On average, approximately 22%
16
17 91 of families with children with special needs spend more than \$1,000 out-of-pocket on healthcare
18
19 92 services with another 12% of CSHCN families spending between \$501 and \$1000 on healthcare
20
21 93 services annually (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services - Health Resources and
22
23 94 Services Administration - Maternal and Child Health Bureau, 2013). As a result, parents of
24
25 95 children with special healthcare needs are more likely to report unmet healthcare needs than
26
27 96 parents of children without these healthcare needs, particularly if the child is affected by two or
28
29
30 97 more conditions (Schieve et al., 2012). Consequently, “children and youth with special health
31
32 98 care needs and their families often need services from multiple systems – health care, public
33
34 99 health, education, mental health, and social services” (Health Resources and Services
35
36
37 100 Administration, 2016).

38
39
40 101 Caring for children with special health care needs requires more effort and resources, to
41
42 102 overcome barriers to care than caring for children without these conditions, which can make
43
44 103 coping with their diagnosis emotionally draining (Ammari, Morris, & Schoenebeck, 2014). In
45
46
47 104 August 2016, the US Social Security Administration and Institute of Medicine requested that the
48
49 105 National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine convene an ad hoc committee to
50
51 106 study health outcomes among school-aged children with disabilities (National Academy of
52
53
54 107 Sciences, 2017). In particular, the committee was charged with examining the congruency of
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

programs, services, and support systems available to children with disabilities children and their families. Further, the Committee has a broad scope which includes; examining utilization rates for existing services and health programs. At the time of our study, the Committee has yet to issue its report. The study reported on here therefore is in line with the overarching goals and vision of the Maternal Child Health Bureau (MCH) at the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), which aims for optimal health and quality of life for all children and youth with special health needs and their families.

Numerous studies have identified family relationship dynamics and functioning and parental coping mechanisms for dealing with the stresses of a child's health condition as potent predictors of the child's wellness and adaptation to the chronic health conditions (Churchill, Villareale, Monaghan, Sharp, & Kieckhefer, 2010; Geist, Grdisa, & Otley, 2003). Evidence from some of these studies suggest that parents of CSHCN typically report higher rates of stress compared to parents of children without special health needs (Estes et al., 2013; Hayes & Watson, 2013; Neece, Green, & Baker, 2012). Additionally, some of these parental stressors among children with special healthcare needs have greater negative effects on the quality of child care than variables such as income, time available for a child, and social support when trying to predict parenting stress, better than the child's functional abilities (Ammari et al., 2014). Higher levels of stress have been reported among parents of younger children with special healthcare needs (Neece et al., 2012; Sipal, Schuengel, Voorman, Van Eck, & Becher, 2010). Neece (2012) concluded that there is a transactional relationship between parental stress and child behavior problems. Caring for a child with behavioral problems exerts increased stress on parent, which can complicate the parent's ability to care for the child, including facilitating access to health care services. When the child has a parent or caregiver who is chronically stressed, it complicates

131 parental ability to appropriately cater to the needs of the child especially one with disabilities
132 which further exacerbates the child's behavioral problems (Neece, 2014; Neece et al., 2012).

133 **Conceptual framework**

134 This study draws from Falkov's integrated systemic Family Model (Falkov, 2012) which
135 illustrates how a child's mental health and development needs affect the adult or parents'
136 parenting, adult mental health, and family relationships by aggravating their mental health illness
137 and acting as stressors and reducing their protective ability and resilience. The parent or
138 caregiver's mental health status affects their parenting or caregiving capacity and family
139 relationship dynamics and functioning, which in turn influences the child's mental health and
140 healthy development. Both the needs of the child and those of parents, therefore, have
141 implications for socio-economic resources and support services which are at the disposal for
142 both, children and their parents as well as cultural and community influences. The Family Model
143 also acknowledges that the links between mental health and parenting thus begin early in life, are
144 evident across the lifespan, and are an important determinant of health and social outcomes in
145 succeeding generations (Falkov, 2012). As illustrated, the systemic Family Model demonstrates
146 the key areas of focus and associated interactions using six Domains and ten (10) bidirectional
147 arrows as follows:

- 148 Domain 1: Adult/parental mental illness
- 149 Domain 2: Child mental health and development
- 150 Domain 3: Family relationships (parenting & marital interactions)
- 151 Domain 4: Risk & protective factors
- 152 Domain 5: Services for children & adults
- 153 Domain 6: Cultural & community influences

In short, Falkov’s conceptual model, which is described was adopted in this study to facilitate scaffolding and understanding of the issues under investigation, while delineating clear parameters and boundaries for the entire study (Creswell, 2009; Miles & Huberman, 1994; Miles, Huberman, & Saldaña, 2013).

Hypotheses

Previous studies have focused solely on the effect of socioeconomic factors on access to care for CSHCN. In addition to socioeconomic factors that influence access to care, we examine and compare characteristics of CSHCN and their family dynamics with delayed health care. In order to answer the research question, we posed three hypotheses as follows:

- 1. Children with special health care needs are more likely to experience delayed medical care than other children;
- 2. Children from families in the higher socioeconomic classes will be less affected by delayed care; and
- 3. Family functioning will have a significant effect on delayed health care among children with special healthcare needs.

Methods

Design and Study Population

This research study utilizes data from the 2011-2012 National Survey of Children’s Health (NSCH), funded by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Maternal and Child Health Bureau. The NSCH provides cross-sectional data on multiple, intersecting aspects of children’s lives—including physical and mental health, access to quality healthcare, and the child’s family, neighborhood, school, and social context (Data Resource Center for Child and Adolescent Health, n.d.). Data collection were conducted through random selection of United

1
2
3 177 States telephone numbers which are further screened to identify households with one or more
4
5 178 children under the age of 18 (Silverberg, Joks, & Durkin, 2014). Surveys were conducted by the
6
7
8 179 National Center for Health Statistics at the Centers for Disease Control, with interviews in
9
10 180 English, Spanish, Korean, Mandarin, Cantonese, and Vietnamese (Silverberg et al., 2014).

11 12 181 **Dependent Variable**

13
14
15 182 The outcome variable for our study is, "delayed use of healthcare services by children
16
17 183 with special needs." Parents of the children with special health care needs were asked, "*During*
18
19 184 *the past 12 months, was there any time when [CHILD's NAME] needed health care but it was*
20
21 185 *delayed or not received?*" A 'yes' response was considered delayed healthcare and coded as '1'
22
23
24 186 while a 'no' response was coded '0' signifying met needs.

25 26 187 **Independent Variables**

27
28 188 The independent variables comprised of the socio-demographic variables including age,
29
30
31 189 sex, race/ethnicity, parental employment, insurance, and Medicaid or SCHIP coverage. Need
32
33 190 variables included having a doctor recommendation for treatment or counseling, a doctor
34
35 191 recommendation for the child to see a specialist, an index child having behavioral problems, the
36
37 192 child needed mental health services, autism, developmental delay and intellectual delay),
38
39
40 193 socioeconomic variables. Parental characteristics included: parental frustration, positively coping
41
42 194 with the demands of caring for a child with special needs, problems paying medical bills, and
43
44 195 parental social support. Specifically, parental social support was defined as having someone to
45
46
47 196 whom the parent or caregiver can turn to for day-to-day emotional with fulfilling the
48
49 197 responsibilities of parenthood or raising a child with special needs. The familial variable was
50
51 198 coded as '1' if a support system existed and '0' otherwise.

52 53 54 199 **Data Analysis Methods**

We computed descriptive, frequencies for categorical variables and mean (SD) and bivariate proportions for the covariates and outcome variables respectively. Data were weighted to account for the complex sampling design and weighting procedures. Stata software packaged version 14 for Windows, (Stata Corp Inc., College Station, TX) was used for all the analysis, with an alpha of 0.05 for significance level. We computed frequencies and percentages for categorical variables (see Table 1). We performed a Chi-square test to find the association and significance between each covariate and delayed care for characteristics of CSHCN, socioeconomic factors, and family dynamics (see Tables 2, 3, and 4). Tables 2, 3, and 4 also include bivariate regression analyses to estimate the odds of each covariate and delayed care. Finally, we computed multivariate logistic regressions to estimate the adjusted odds of delayed care while adjusting for cofounders (Tables 2, 3, and 4).

Results

The results of our study are presented in three sections addressing, descriptive, bivariate and multivariate results. Tables 1 contains the univariate weighted proportions of the covariates, while Tables 2, 3, and 4 breakdowns the results of the bivariate and multivariate analysis by sociodemographic, health care utilization and need domains.

Descriptive

The sample means age was 10.98 (SD =4.39) years of age; 37.2% were female and 62.8% were male. The majority of the sample was White (67.6%), 15.6% Black, and 16.8% were other minorities (Table 1 below). A significant proportion of the children in the study had health insurance coverage (96.3%), 90.3% had a regular doctor, and 93.3% saw a doctor in the 12-month period preceding the interview. It is important to note that 52.3% of the sample had Medicaid or State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) for insurance coverage. Our

study shows that the proportion of our sample that needed treatment or counseling was 34.4% and 28.5% had a parent who self-reported a behavior problem. Unfortunately, there were 48.6% who did not see a mental health care professional during the period preceding the interview despite having behavior problems or needing treatment or counseling. At the state level, Medicaid and SCHIP funding for mental health care services are limited (Behrens, Lear, & Price, 2013). These differences in coverage and utilization of services suggest a gap or lack of mental health services for children receiving government-funded health insurance. The differences may be a result of state Medicaid programs that have separate contracts for behavioral health services; leading to fewer mental health care providers (Chiri & Warfield, 2012).

[Insert Table 1 here]

Characteristics of CSHCN and Delayed Care

Bivariate analysis.

Weighted results of the bivariate and multivariate analyses are presented in Table 2 for characteristics of CSHCN and delayed care. Overall, there were significant differences in CSHCN (16.5%) who experienced delayed health care than children without special needs (1.9%). Similarly, higher proportions of children with special needs who saw a mental health care professional experienced a delay in care than those who did not (7.3%). We found there was were significantly lower differences for children who needed treatment/counseling (8.5%), had behavior problems (6.3%), and a doctor said the child needed to see a specialist (4%) with delayed care ($\chi^2 = 20.9$; $p < 0.001$, $\chi^2 = 6.68$; $p < 0.01$, and $\chi^2 = 41.3$; $p < 0.001$ respectively). No statistically significant differences were found among CSHCN who had either autism, developmental or intellectual delays.

[Insert Table 2]

Socioeconomic Factors and Delayed Care

Bivariate analysis.

Results also suggest higher proportions of delayed care for our sample with each socioeconomic factor defined (see Table 2). These differences in proportion were only statistically significant for parent’s employment status and having health insurance in the 12-month period preceding the interview ($\chi^2 = 45.0$; $p < 0.001$ and $\chi^2 = 12.7$; $p < 0.001$) respectively.

[Insert Table 3]

Family Functioning and Delayed Care

Consistently research has shown that family functioning has a significant impact on the health and quality of life of children with special needs. All were statistically significant in the bivariate analysis (see Table 2). Each predictor suggested higher proportions with the expectation of the family having problems paying medical bills, which results showed similar proportions between families who did (8.8%) and did not (8.9%) have problems paying ($\chi^2 = 82.1$; $p < 0.001$).

Multivariate analysis.

At the multivariate level, several factors were significantly associated with delayed care in children with special needs at the adjusted level predictor suggested a higher likelihood of delayed care with the expectation of children with behavioral problems. In general, CSCHN were statistically two times more likely (AOR = 2.00; CI: 95% 1.10 – 3.61) to have delayed care than children without special health care needs. Children with special healthcare needs and a diagnosis of autism experienced delayed care more often (57%) CSCHN who did not have autism (AOR = 1.57; CI: 95% 1.06-2.32). CSCHN who received treatment or counseling in the 12-month period preceding the interview were significantly more likely to have had delayed care (AOR = 1.81; CI: 95% 1.08 – 3.04). Ironically, CSCHN who had a recommendation to see a

specialist were three times (AOR = 3.09; CI: 95% 2.03 – 4.71) to experience a delay in care than CSHCN who were not recommended.

Results of the multivariate analysis suggest that children whose parents report frustration are significantly more likely to have delayed care (AOR = 7.68; 95% CI: 5.06-11.6). Additionally, children whose parents report difficulty paying bills also experience significant delays in critical healthcare services (AOR = 2.17; 95% CI: 1.52-3.09). Children with special needs whose parents self-reported positively coping with parenthood were 14% less likely experienced delayed care in the 12-month period preceding the (AOR = 0.86; 95% CI: 0.48-1.53). For parents who had social support, lower odds of delayed care were observed, but results were only significant at the bivariate level.

[Insert table 4].

At the multivariate level, those with insurance were 66% less likely to have had delayed care (AOR = 0.34; CI: 95% 0.15-0.73). Medicaid or SCHIP recipients were nearly two times more likely to experience delayed care (AOR = 1.96; CI: 95% 1.32-2.92). Having a regular doctor and seeing a doctor also led to higher chances of delayed access and use of healthcare services. However, these differences were not statistically significant.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to examine the impact of characteristics of CSHCN, socioeconomic factors, and family dynamics on delayed care for CSHCN. Expanding on the knowledge from previous studies, we hypothesized that the impact of delayed care would be higher for CSHCN, socioeconomic factors would decrease delayed care for families with favorable statuses, and that family dynamics would have similar effects on delayed care for CSHCN as the relationship between socioeconomic factors and access to care. Our first

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

hypothesis was proven true, as higher proportions and odds of delayed care were observed. As expected, socioeconomic factors suggested very traditional implications for delayed care. For example, parents who worked or parents of children with health insurance were less likely to experience delayed care. One explanation for this difference could be that their parents can afford private insurance to supplement care that is not covered by government-funded health care, thus decreasing the chance of delayed care (Krauss, Gulley, Sciegaj, & Wells, 1993).

Based on previous research as well as the results of the current study, our first hypothesis that CSHCN would have more delays in medical care due to their diagnosis than children without special health care needs was validated. This is also consistent with the conceptual framework of this study in that the evidence suggests that having CSHCN impacts on the parents' ability to respond to their health needs by acting as stressors and reducing parents' resilience and protective ability. From these finding, we can infer that the mental health of adults with childcare responsibilities are affected by CSCHN and therefore should be acknowledged as a global public health issue requiring a greater focus on individuals within their family context.

Key findings of our study suggest that delayed care was still a persistent issue for CSCHN although in recent years there has been an increase to resolve challenges and barriers to care for people with disabilities. Additionally, we found that the proportions of diagnosis (autism, developmental delays, or intellectual delays) varied at the bivariate level, but the likelihood of having delayed care was higher for children who had autism or an intellectual delay about both the bivariate and multivariate levels. However, the three diagnosis-related predictors were not found to be statistically significant except autism at the multivariate level. This could reflect stigmas associated with autism and should be researched further.

Surprisingly, CSHCN who had a doctor say they needed to see a specialist were over three times as likely to have had delayed care at both the bivariate and multivariate levels. Often is it easier to access a specialist with a referral from a primary care physician (Dunlea & Lenert, 2015; van Dijk, Korevaar, Koopmans, de Jong, & de Bakker, 2014) and many insurance plans require one. This finding may suggest that there is a strong disconnect for CSHCN with referrals to specialty care. It also circles back to echo known barriers of access to care that were previously mentioned. To be clear on this issue, we suggest that future research should show if this is a direct effect of time between referrals from doctors to specialist or if it is lack of specialty care professionals for people with disabilities. Another reason there for a delay in care after a referral is that adding more professionals to a care plan can make decisions about treatment options more difficult for parents (Stille et al., 2013). Also, for those who were able to see a mental health care professional in the selected timeframe, the likelihood to experience to have delayed care was 69% less likely, leaving more than a quarter (31%) of the sample with the likelihood of delayed care. While these children eventually did see a mental health care professional, there was still a great chance that they were not able to see them in a timely manner.

Socioeconomic Factors

Evidence from this study suggest that adverse socio-economic factors can be stressors which lead to delayed access to care for parents and families with CSHCN, even though this is to a lesser extent compared to the influence of social support, family relationship dynamics and functioning. Ironically, children who had health care coverage in the 12-month period preceding the interview were 66% less likely to have delayed care than those children without special healthcare needs with no coverage. However, for those who specified being enrolled in Medicaid

1
2
3 337 or SCHIP only, results suggest lower rates of delayed care. CSHCN who were enrolled in
4
5 338 Medicaid or SCHIP were twice as likely to experience delayed care compared to children
6
7 339 without special needs who were enrolled. Szilagyi (2012) cited provider reimbursement for
8
9 340 government-funded insurance tended to be lower than private insurer reimbursement; making
10
11 341 some providers reluctant to care for the publicly insured, particularly children who have public
12
13 342 insurance and are at high-risk.
14

15
16
17 343 Other socio-economic factors that contribute to delayed care among children with special
18
19 344 needs include; out-of-pocket cost, provider lack of knowledge and experience in providing care
20
21 345 for disabled children, inadequate equipment, and discrimination (Ali et al., 2013; Krahn, Walker,
22
23 346 & Correa-De-Araujo, 2015). These findings are consistent with the conceptual framework for
24
25 347 this study which acknowledges that complex health needs for children have implications for
26
27 348 resources and support services which are affected by external factors, such as cultural,
28
29 349 community or socio-economic ones.
30

31
32
33 350 Understanding the role of these factors and the potential negative effect they have on the
34
35 351 ability of CSHCN's ability to access critical care services is important for public health social
36
37 352 workers. Resolving these issues is important because most CSHCN will rely on publicly-funded
38
39 353 health care into adulthood (Okumura et al., 2013)and people with disabilities are entitled to the
40
41 354 same health equity and opportunities as people without disabilities.
42
43

44
45 355 Results from this study suggest that family functioning had a greater influence on delayed
46
47 356 care than socioeconomic predictors. Parents of CSHCN experience significant stress and
48
49 357 frustration as demonstrated in our findings and previous studies. Falkov's Family Model alludes
50
51 358 to the effect family stress arising out of health issues for either or both children and parents
52
53 359 having the potential to negatively impact on family relationships and functioning (Falkov, 2012).
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Evidence from this study shows that parents who coped positively with raising CSHCN were less likely to have delayed care for their children. Coping is a proactive, practice that encompasses a combination of behavioral, emotional, and cognitive attempts to help manage the distress brought on by the stressor (Zaidman-Zait et al., 2017). Traditionally and culturally, mothers are the primary caregivers of their children (Neves et al., 2013; Pridham, Limbo, & Schroeder, 1998). Woodman (2013) set out to assess the role of coping strategies for depressive symptoms and parenting efficacy outside of socioeconomic factors, characteristics of their children, and adolescent behavioral problems for mothers with adolescents with developmental disabilities. Similar to this current study, the results suggested socioeconomic status did not produce a significant change in maternal depressive symptoms or parenting efficacy (Woodman & Hauser-Cram, 2013). Finally, after longitudinal analysis of both problem-focused and emotion-focused coping strategies, Woodman (2013) concluded that coping indeed plays a role in maternal well-being over time. Consistent with findings from Woodman parenting and family functioning, are significantly associated with lower odds of delayed care is decreased when family functioning when parents of CSHCN have positive experiences with coping.

Social support, family relationship dynamics and functioning and delayed care

Family structure, including social support and social network, has been shown to mediate the burden experienced by families with special needs (DeHoff, Staten, Rodgers, & Denne, 2016). The evidence presented from this study on positively coping, in conjunction with findings for parental social support, suggests that having positive family relationships and social support contribute to fewer instances of delays in care for CSHCN. Evidence from this study, as with previous studies shows that parents of CSHCN self-reported high levels of frustration or stress (Kissel & Nelson, 2016; Neece, 2014). Social support has been linked to not only effective

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

383 coping behaviors, but other positive health statuses such as a sense of stability, psychological
384 well-being, and perceived control among others for parents of CSHCN (Langford, Bowsher,
385 Maloney, & Lillis, 1997; Peer & Hillman, 2014). Furthermore, social support has also been
386 defined as an interpersonal transaction between emotional and instrumental dimensions (Norona
387 & Baker, 2014) that is one of the best coping mechanisms for parents of CSHCN (Findler, Klein
388 Jacoby, & Gabis, 2016; Zaidman-Zait et al., 2017).

389 When parents do not have any means of social support, stressful situations may impact
390 susceptibility to increased psychological distress, emotional and functional problems, and
391 illnesses (Findler et al., 2016). Parenting CSHCN can also have a negative effect on other family
392 relationship dynamics such as finding appropriate and affordable child care, making work
393 decisions, obtaining education/training, having additional children, problem-solving, and relying
394 on government-funded insurance (Reichman, Corman, & Noonan, 2008; Zaidman-Zait et al.,
395 2017).

396 As mentioned previously, parents of children with special needs often face more financial
397 burdens associated with child care than parents of children without special needs. Evidence from
398 this study shows that parents self-reported that when they had problems paying medical bills,
399 they were over 4 times more likely to have delayed care at the bivariate level and over two times
400 more likely at the multivariate level (AOR = 4.11; 95% CI: 2.99 -5.66, $p < 0.001$). Possible
401 explanations of this finding could stem from parents having to make their children skip or
402 postpone appointments for reasons such as disagreement with new treatment plans, the
403 consequences associated with treatment, a belief that the benefits do not outweigh the cost, not
404 retrieving prescribed medication in a timely manner, or avoiding additional medical costs due to
405 lack of funds (Cameron et al., 2014). Although many CSHCN are covered by government-

1
2
3 406 funded programs that reimburse providers a significant portion of the costs, there are still
4
5 407 considerable amount of out-of-pocket expenses that are not covered (Barrett et al., 2015). The
6
7 408 out-of-pocket costs leave many families with limited means for providing needed care to their
8
9 409 children.

10
11
12 410 Social support, relationship dynamics and functioning can be instrumental in terms of
13
14 411 health care when parents are supported with various healthcare-related activities such as
15
16 412 investing in time to make their child's appointment on time, finding doctors who are capable of
17
18 413 care for CSHCN, assisting with transportation, or helping understand and adjust to new treatment
19
20 414 plans (Caicedo, 2014). Children whose parents or caregivers have social support are less likely to
21
22 415 experience delays in health care (McKenzie, Ouellette-Kuntz, Blinkhorn, & Démoré, 2017; Van
23
24 416 Cleave, 2015). Parents with social support gain understanding and develop the ability to care for
25
26 417 and be advocates for their children (DeHoff et al., 2016). Social support, along with positive
27
28 418 family relationship dynamics, are critical because they assist parents in maintaining functioning
29
30 419 and stability for their family and balance in their own lives (Peer & Hillman, 2014).

31
32
33 420 Parallels can therefore be drawn between the findings of this study and Falkov's model in
34
35 421 that, in both, the child's mental health and special health care needs, there is a transactional
36
37 422 relationship between parental stress and child health and behavioral difficulties (Domain 1 and
38
39 423 2). As with this study, Falkov (2012) argued that the family relationship dynamics and
40
41 424 functioning are influenced by the stress of a child's health condition and parental stress (Domain
42
43 425 3). As evidence from this study suggests, difficult family relationship dynamics and functioning
44
45 426 have significant implications for delaying access to health care for CSHCN and behavior
46
47 427 difficulties. These difficulties which are associated with their broader special health care needs or
48
49 428 mental health issues could lead to increased parental stress, difficult family relationship
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 429 dynamics, and potentially adult mental health, which, as evidence from this study shows, further
4
5 430 exacerbates the development of the child’s behavior problems due to delayed access medical
6
7 431 care for CSHCN. In both instances, this complicates parental ability to appropriately access
8
9 432 health care timely and meet needs of the children who have either special health care needs or
10
11 433 mental health needs. As with the Falkov’s conceptual framework, therefore there are
12
13 434 implications for support services for both children and adults, resilience, protective factors, and
14
15 435 resources (Domain 4), for this study too, that determine the timely access to health services for
16
17 436 both children and adults (Domain 5). The influence of culture and community services (Domain
18
19 437 6) in the Falkov’s conceptual framework can be likened to external factors such as the impact of
20
21 438 the family’s culture and socio-economic influences on CSHCN access to services, which this
22
23 439 study also set out to investigate. Based on findings and the links made with Falkov’s Family
24
25 440 Model a conceptual model for this study in relation to the transactional relationships between
26
27 441 multilevel influences to access to health services for CSHCN can be presented in Figure 1.
28
29 442 [Insert Figure 1: A Family-Centered Model for delayed care for children with special health
30
31 443 needs]

32
33
34
35
36
37 444 Although similar in many respects, what makes the proposed Family-Centered Model for
38
39 445 delayed care for children with special health care needs different from the Falkov’s family model
40
41 446 is that more specifically, this model is about children with special health needs and mental health
42
43 447 needs. In line with the findings of the study, the proposed model emphasizes the centrality of
44
45 448 family relationship dynamics and functioning, social support and socio-economic factors in
46
47 449 influencing children’s special health care needs and the special support needs for the caregivers
48
49 450 concerning access to support services. While the social support and other resources can act as a
50
51 451 protective factor and a source of resilience, adverse economic factors on the other hands can act
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

as stressors that heighten risks for both caregivers and children with special health care needs. Yet, in line with Falkov's family model, the proposed Family-Centered Model, as evidence from this study suggests, both specialized services for children with special health care needs and specialized support services for parents and caregivers would be in turn be influenced by external factors such as the cultural parenting practices and available community resources. This is consistent with assertions made earlier with regards to a large body of literature that shows that culturally mothers are the primary caregivers of their children (Neves et al., 2013; Pridham et al., 1998). As discussed in the section below, a number of implications for practice for delayed care for children with special health care needs can therefore be drawn from the findings of this study.

Implications for Practice, Policy, and Research.

Children with special health needs are particularly vulnerable to complex medical problems. These problems can be compounded by the lack coherence in family, community and health systems resources and infrastructure. We recommend that healthcare providers and social workers include features of social support into care coordination for CSHCN. Based on our results, it would be beneficial for healthcare providers and social workers work together to incorporate family-centered care programs by promoting family advisory boards and family/peer support groups, family presentations on care experiences, and hiring family members as consulting staff to specific programs (Dennis Z. Kuo et al., 2012). Because social workers are very knowledgeable on how to interact with many types of families (Ferguson, 2016), it would be ideal for them to expand on their roles with implementing care coordination and family-centered care plans (Algood, Harris, & Sung Hong, 2013). These types of programs are ideal for families that do not have support from biological family members, and those who may be the first in their family history to seek care for CSHCN. Some hospitals have proven to be successful

1
2
3 475 by incorporating family-centered care programs and encourage family leaders to participate in
4
5 476 family advisory boards or peer support groups (Dennis Z. Kuo et al., 2012). Utilizing social
6
7 477 workers and other mental health clinicians beyond the diagnosis period can provide therapeutic
8
9 478 opportunities to facilitate stress management and provide support for parents as they navigate
10
11 479 complex medical systems and limited resources. Additionally, this study supports the finding of
12
13 480 reimbursement discrepancies between government and private health insurers. We would
14
15 481 encourage legislative officials, lobbyists, and advocacy groups to push for financial parity
16
17 482 regarding mental health reimbursements to ensure children receive quality care that may be vital
18
19 483 to their developmental well-being. Additional legislative action should be focused on increasing
20
21 484 resources for children and families with special health care needs. Further, coordination of
22
23 485 resources for families and children with special health needs would also alleviate some of the
24
25 486 burdens and ease the transition into needed care. Furthermore, continued research should
26
27 487 examine the long-term effects of programs that include family social support as it relates to the
28
29 488 occurrence of care delays.
30
31
32
33
34

35 489 **Limitations of the study.**

36
37 490 First, NSCH is dependent upon the parents' ability to report a diagnosis of their children
38
39 491 rather than using medical records (Chiri & Warfield, 2012). Although parent report has been
40
41 492 shown to be a reliable measure of healthcare quality, there was no way to verify the information
42
43 493 (Chiri & Warfield, 2012; Zuckerman, Lindly, Bethell, & Kuhlthau, 2014). Second, the NSCH
44
45 494 does not have any measures to account for the severity of the children's diagnosis, which can
46
47 495 lead to misleading results especially for children on the autism spectrum. Third, the survey only
48
49 496 reaches households with landline telephones, which decreases the number of participants.
50
51
52
53 497 Finally, our study uses specific data for intellectual delays, developmental delays, and autism,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

but lumps all other special care needs such as cerebral palsy and schizophrenia. Despite these limitations, this study still gives important insight on the impact of characteristics of CSCHN, risks and stressors from socio-economic factors, social support as a protective and resilience factor and a resource, and family dynamics in relations to delayed care for children with special needs.

Conclusion

This study contributes to our understanding of the difference in socioeconomic factors and family dynamics on delayed care for CSCHN. Collectively, our findings provide evidence to parental social support positively influencing the outcome of delayed care. Having delays in can add to the frustration and stress of parenting CSCHN. Experiences with parental social support appear to be important constructs for coping with common life stressors of parents with CSCHN. We conclude that family relationship dynamics have a greater impact on delayed care than socioeconomic factors. A more family-centered approach that ensures that parents have social support and can cope with caring for their children in turn decrease delays in care is required. The use of qualified mental health professionals who understand the systemic interplay of factors described in the proposed family-centered model' can positively affect family support reducing the presence of care delays.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

Algood, C. L., Harris, C., & Sung Hong, J. (2013). Parenting Success and Challenges for Families of Children with Disabilities: An Ecological Systems Analysis. *Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment*, 23, 126–136.
<http://doi.org/10.1080/10911359.2012.747408>

Ali, A., Scior, K., Ratti, V., Strydom, A., King, M., & Hassiotis, A. (2013). Discrimination and Other Barriers to Accessing Health Care: Perspectives of Patients with Mild and Moderate Intellectual Disability and Their Carers. *PLoS ONE*, 8(8), e70855.
<http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070855>

Ammari, T., Morris, M. R., & Schoenebeck, S. Y. (2014). Accessing Social Support and Overcoming Judgment on Social Media among Parents of Children with Special Needs. *International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media*, 22–31.

Barrett, B., Mosweu, I., Jones, C. R., Charman, T., Baird, G., Simonoff, E., ... Byford, S. (2015). Comparing service use and costs among adolescents with autism spectrum disorders, special needs and typical development. *Autism*, 19(5), 562–569.
<http://doi.org/10.1177/1362361314536626>

Behrens, D., Lear, J. G., & Price, O. A. (2013). Improving Access to Children’s Mental Health Care: Lessons from a Study of Eleven States. *Himmelfarb Health Sciences Library*. Retrieved from http://hsrc.himmelfarb.gwu.edu/sphhs_prev_facpubs

Benevides, T. W., Carretta, H. J., & Mandell, D. S. (2016). Differences in Perceived Need for Medical, Therapeutic, and Family Support Services Among Children With ASD. *Pediatrics*, 137(Supplement), S176–S185. <http://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2015-2851P>

Burns, K. H., Casey, P. H., Lyle, R. E., Bird, T. M., Fussell, J. J., & Robbins, J. M. (2010). Increasing prevalence of medically complex children in US hospitals. *Pediatrics*, 126(4),

- 638–646. <http://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-1658>
- Caicedo, C. (2014). Families With Special Needs Children. *Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association*, 20(6), 398–407. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1078390314561326>
- Cameron, E., Heath, G., Redwood, S., Greenfield, S., Cummins, C., Kelly, D., & Pattison, H. (2014). Health care professionals' views of paediatric outpatient non-attendance: implications for general practice. *Family Practice*, 31(1), 111–117. <http://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmt063>
- Chiri, G., & Warfield, M. E. (2012). Unmet need and problems accessing core health care services for children with autism spectrum disorder. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 16(5), 1081–1091. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-011-0833-6>
- Churchill, S. S., Villareale, N. L., Monaghan, T. A., Sharp, V. L., & Kieckhefer, G. M. (2010). Parents of Children with Special Health Care Needs Who have Better Coping Skills have Fewer Depressive Symptoms. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 14(1), 47–57. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-008-0435-0>
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research design : qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. Sage.
- Data Resource Center for Child and Adolescent Health. (n.d.). National Survey of Children's Health - Data Resource Center for Child and Adolescent Health. Retrieved December 15, 2016, from <http://childhealthdata.org/learn/NSCH>
- DeHoff, B. A., Staten, L. K., Rodgers, R. C., & Denne, S. C. (2016). The Role of Online Social Support in Supporting and Educating Parents of Young Children With Special Health Care Needs in the United States: A Scoping Review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 18(12), e333. <http://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.6722>

1
2
3 569 Dunlea, R., & Lenert, L. (2015). Understanding Patients’ Preferences for Referrals to Specialists
4
5 570 for an Asymptomatic Condition. *Medical Decision Making*, 35(6), 691–702.
6
7 571 <http://doi.org/10.1177/0272989X14566640>
8
9
10 572 Falkov, A. (2012). *The family model handbook : an integrated approach to supporting mentally*
11
12 573 *ill parents and their children*. Retrieved from [http://www.worldcat.org/title/family-model-](http://www.worldcat.org/title/family-model-handbook-an-integrated-approach-to-supporting-mentally-ill-parents-and-their-children/oclc/868958058)
13
14 574 [handbook-an-integrated-approach-to-supporting-mentally-ill-parents-and-their-](http://www.worldcat.org/title/family-model-handbook-an-integrated-approach-to-supporting-mentally-ill-parents-and-their-children/oclc/868958058)
15
16 575 [children/oclc/868958058](http://www.worldcat.org/title/family-model-handbook-an-integrated-approach-to-supporting-mentally-ill-parents-and-their-children/oclc/868958058)
17
18
19 576 Ferguson, H. (2016). Researching Social Work Practice Close Up: Using Ethnographic and
20
21 577 Mobile Methods to Understand Encounters between Social Workers, Children and Families.
22
23 578 *British Journal of Social Work*, 46(1), 153–168. <http://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bcu120>
24
25
26 579 Findler, L., Klein Jacoby, A., & Gabis, L. (2016). Subjective happiness among mothers of
27
28 580 children with disabilities: The role of stress, attachment, guilt and social support. *Research*
29
30 581 *in Developmental Disabilities*, 55, 44–54. <http://doi.org/10.1016/J.RIDD.2016.03.006>
31
32
33 582 Geist, R., Grdisa, V., & Otley, A. (2003). Psychosocial issues in the child with chronic
34
35 583 conditions. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Gastroenterology*, 17(2), 141–152.
36
37 584 [http://doi.org/10.1016/S1521-6918\(02\)00142-7](http://doi.org/10.1016/S1521-6918(02)00142-7)
38
39
40 585 Goudie, A., Narcisse, M.-R., Hall, D. E., & Kuo, D. Z. (2014). Financial and psychological
41
42 586 stressors associated with caring for children with disability. *Families, Systems & Health :*
43
44 587 *The Journal of Collaborative Family Healthcare*, 32(3), 280–90.
45
46 588 <http://doi.org/10.1037/fsh0000027>
47
48
49 589 Health Resources and Services Administration. (2016). Children with Special Health Care Needs
50
51 590 | Maternal and Child Health Bureau. Retrieved April 26, 2018, from
52
53 591 <https://mchb.hrsa.gov/maternal-child-health-topics/children-and-youth-special-health-needs>
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 592 Kissel, S. D., & Nelson, W. M. (2016). Parents' Perceptions of the Severity of Their Child's
593 Autistic Behaviors and Differences in Parental Stress, Family Functioning, and Social
594 Support. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 31(2), 152–160.
595 <http://doi.org/10.1177/1088357614537352>
- 596 Krahn, G. L., Walker, D. K., & Correa-De-Araujo, R. (2015). Persons with disabilities as an
597 unrecognized health disparity population. *American Journal of Public Health*, 105 Suppl
598 2(Suppl 2), S198-206. <http://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2014.302182>
- 599 Krauss, M. W., Gulley, S., Sciegaj, M., & Wells, N. (1993). Access to Specialty Medical Care
600 for Children With Mental Retardation, Autism, and Other Special Health Care Needs.
601 *MENTAL RETARDATION Horowitz & Stein Ireys*, 41(5), 329–339. Retrieved from
602 <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/af4a/c7fe705cee6f495c4a3e7e5e394883855d1c.pdf>
- 603 Kuhlthau, K., Kahn, R., Hill, K. S., Gnanasekaran, S., & Ettner, S. L. (2010). The Well-Being of
604 Parental Caregivers of Children with Activity Limitations. *Maternal and Child Health*
605 *Journal*, 14(2), 155–163. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-008-0434-1>
- 606 Kuo, D. Z., & Houtrow, A. J. (2016). Recognition and Management of Medical Complexity.
607 *PEDIATRICS*, 138(6), e20163021–e20163021. <http://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-3021>
- 608 Kuo, D. Z., Houtrow, A. J., Arango, P., Kuhlthau, K. A., Simmons, J. M., & Neff, J. M. (2012).
609 Family-Centered Care: Current Applications and Future Directions in Pediatric Health Care.
610 *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 16(2), 297–305. [http://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-011-](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-011-0751-7)
611 [0751-7](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-011-0751-7)
- 612 Langford, C. P. H., Bowsher, J., Maloney, J. P., & Lillis, P. P. (1997). Social support: a
613 conceptual analysis. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 25(1), 95–100.
614 <http://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.1997.1997025095.x>

- 615 McKenzie, K., Ouellette-Kuntz, H., Blinkhorn, A., & Démoré, A. (2017). Out of School and Into
616 Distress: Families of Young Adults with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities in
617 Transition. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 30(4), 774–781.
618 <http://doi.org/10.1111/jar.12264>
- 619 McPherson, M., Arango, P., Fox, H., Lauver, C., McManus, M., Newacheck, P. W., ...
620 Strickland, B. (1998). A New Definition of Children With Special Health Care Needs.
621 *Pediatrics*, 102(1). Retrieved from
622 <http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/102/1/137.short>
- 623 Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis : an expanded sourcebook*.
624 Sage Publications. Retrieved from
625 https://books.google.com/books/about/Qualitative_Data_Analysis.html?id=U4IU_-wJ5QEC
- 626 Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2013). *Qualitative data analysis : a methods*
627 *sourcebook*. Sage.
- 628 National Academy of Sciences. (2017). Improving Health Outcomes for Children with
629 Disabilities : Health and Medicine Division. Retrieved April 30, 2018, from
630 <http://nationalacademies.org/hmd/activities/selectpops/improvinghealthoutcomesforchildren>
631 [withdisabilities.aspx](http://nationalacademies.org/hmd/activities/selectpops/improvinghealthoutcomesforchildren)
- 632 Neece, C. L. (2014). Mindfulness based stress reduction for parental mental health and child
633 behavior problems. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 117(1), 174–
634 186. <http://doi.org/10.1352/1944-7558-117.1.48>
- 635 Neves, E. T., Cabral, I. E., Silveira, A. da, Neves, E. T., Cabral, I. E., & Silveira, A. da. (2013).
636 Family network of children with special health needs: implications for Nursing. *Revista*
637 *Latino-Americana de Enfermagem*, 21(2), 562–570. <http://doi.org/10.1590/S0104->

- 11692013000200013
- Norona, A. N., & Baker, B. L. (2014). The transactional relationship between parenting and emotion regulation in children with or without developmental delays. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 35(12), 3209–3216. <http://doi.org/10.1016/J.RIDD.2014.07.048>
- Okumura, M. J., Hersh, A. O., Hilton, J. F., & Lotstein, D. S. (2013). Change in Health Status and Access to Care in Young Adults With Special Health Care Needs: Results From the 2007 National Survey of Adult Transition and Health. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 52(4), 413–418. <http://doi.org/10.1016/J.JADOHEALTH.2012.08.005>
- Peer, J. W., & Hillman, S. B. (2014). Stress and Resilience for Parents of Children With Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities: A Review of Key Factors and Recommendations for Practitioners. *Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities*, 11(2), 92–98. <http://doi.org/10.1111/jppi.12072>
- Pollard, R. Q., Betts, W. R., Carroll, J. K., Waxmonsky, J. a, Barnett, S., Degruy, F. V, ... Kellar-Guenther, Y. (2014). Integrating primary care and behavioral health with four special populations: Children with special needs, people with serious mental illness, refugees, and deaf people. *The American Psychologist*, 69(4), 377–87. <http://doi.org/10.1037/a0036220>
- Pridham, K., Limbo, R., & Schroeder, M. (1998). Support of Family Caregiving for Children with Special Needs. Retrieved from <http://parenthood.library.wisc.edu/Pridham/Pridham.html>
- Reichman, N. E., Corman, H., & Noonan, K. (2008). Impact of Child Disability on the Family. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 12(6), 679–683. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-007-0307-z>
- Resch, J. A., Mireles, G., Benz, M. R., Grenwelge, C., Peterson, R., & Zhang, D. (2010). Giving

- 661 parents a voice: A qualitative study of the challenges experienced by parents of children
662 with disabilities. *Rehabilitation Psychology*, 55(2), 139–50.
663 <http://doi.org/10.1037/a0019473>
- 664 Rosen-Reynoso, M., Porche, M. V., Kwan, N., Bethell, C., Thomas, V., Robertson, J., ...
665 Palfrey, J. (2016). Disparities in Access to Easy-to-Use Services for Children with Special
666 Health Care Needs. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*, 20(5), 1041–1053.
667 <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-015-1890-z>
- 668 Schieve, L. A., Gonzalez, V., Boulet, S. L., Visser, S. N., Rice, C. E., Braun, K. V. N., & Boyle,
669 C. A. (2012). Concurrent medical conditions and health care use and needs among children
670 with learning and behavioral developmental disabilities, National Health Interview Survey,
671 2006–2010. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 33(2), 467–476.
672 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2011.10.008>
- 673 Silverberg, J. I., Joks, R., & Durkin, H. G. (2014). Allergic disease is associated with epilepsy in
674 childhood: a US population-based study. *Allergy*, 69(1), 95–103.
675 <http://doi.org/10.1111/all.12319>
- 676 Stille, C. J., Fischer, S. H., La Pelle, N., Dworetzky, B., Mazor, K. M., & Cooley, W. C. (2013).
677 Parent Partnerships in Communication and Decision Making About Subspecialty Referrals
678 for Children With Special Needs. *Academic Pediatrics*, 13(2), 122–132.
679 <http://doi.org/10.1016/J.ACAP.2012.12.003>
- 680 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services - Health Resources and Services Administration
681 - Maternal and Child Health Bureau. (2013). The National Survey of Children with Special
682 Health Care Needs: Chartbook 2009-2010, (June), 1–109.
- 683 US Department of Health and Human Services. (1987). *Children with special health care needs*.

- 684 Campaign “87. Surgeon General”s Report. *Commitment to: Family-Centered, Community-*
 685 *Based, Coordinated Care*. Rockville, MD: Retrieved from
 686 https://profiles.nlm.nih.gov/NN/B/C/F/P/_/nnbcfp.pdf
- 687 Van Cleave, J. (2015). Children with special health care needs: with population-based data,
 688 better individual care plans. *Pediatrics*, 135(4), e1040-1. [http://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2015-](http://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2015-0319)
 689 0319
- 690 van Dijk, C. E., Korevaar, J. C., Koopmans, B., de Jong, J. D., & de Bakker, D. H. (2014). The
 691 primary–secondary care interface: Does provision of more services in primary care reduce
 692 referrals to medical specialists? *Health Policy*, 118(1), 48–55.
 693 <http://doi.org/10.1016/J.HEALTHPOL.2014.04.001>
- 694 Woodman, A. C., & Hauser-Cram, P. (2013). The role of coping strategies in predicting change
 695 in parenting efficacy and depressive symptoms among mothers of adolescents with
 696 developmental disabilities. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 57(6), 513–530.
 697 <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2788.2012.01555.x>
- 698 Zaidman-Zait, A., Mirenda, P., Duku, E., Vaillancourt, T., Smith, I. M., Szatmari, P., ...
 699 Thompson, A. (2017). Impact of personal and social resources on parenting stress in
 700 mothers of children with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism*, 21(2), 155–166.
 701 <http://doi.org/10.1177/1362361316633033>
- 702 Zuckerman, K. E., Lindly, O. J., Bethell, C. D., & Kuhlthau, K. (2014). Family Impacts Among
 703 Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder: The Role of Health Care Quality. *Academic*
 704 *Pediatrics*, 14(4), 398–407. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.acap.2014.03.011>

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Children with Special Healthcare Needs		
	Sample characteristics	
Independent variables	Count (n=5503)	Weighted (%) (n=5503)
Age (mean =10.98; sd =4.39)		
<11	2752	52.8
>12	2751	47.2
Gender		
Male	3477	62.8
Female	2026	37.2
Race/Ethnicity		
White (ref)	4020	67.6
Black/African-American	559	15.6
Other minorities	924	16.8
Employment Status		
Not employed (ref)	1205	23.7
Employed	4298	76.3
Insurance		
Yes	5352	96.3
No (ref)	151	3.7
Have a regular doctor		
Yes	5149	90.3
No (ref)	354	9.7
Medicaid or SCHIP		
Yes	2754	52.3
No (ref)	2599	47.7
Delayed care		
Yes	949	17.7
No (ref)	4554	82.3
Doctor said child needed a specialist		
Yes	596	10.2
No (ref)	5007	89.8
Has the child seen a doctor		
Yes	5190	93.3
No (ref)	313	6.7
Mental health professional or services used		
Yes	2802	51.4
No (ref)	2701	48.6

Child with special health care need		
Yes	4855	86.7
No (ref)	648	13.3
Child needs treatment or counseling		
Yes	1779	34.3
No (ref)	3724	65.7
Does the child have a behavior problem		
Yes	1301	28.5
No (ref)	4202	71.5
Does the child have autism		
Yes	1623	28.7
No (ref)	3880	71.3
Developmental delay		
Yes	3111	56.8
No (ref)	2392	43.2
Intellectual delay		
Yes	933	15.2
No (ref)	4570	84.8
Positive parental coping with parenthood		
Yes	5193	92.8
No (ref)	310	7.2
Family problems paying bills		
Yes	1241	24.9
No (ref)	4262	75.1
Parental social support		
Yes	762	16.5
No (ref)	4741	83.5
Frustration		
Yes	2458	44.7
No (ref)	3045	55.3

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 2: Characteristics of CSHCN and Delayed Care						
	Bivariate Analysis				Multivariate Analysis	
Independent variables	Weight (Yes %)	χ^2	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Child with special health care need		11.8***				
Yes	16.5		2.40***	1.44-4.02	2.00*	1.10-3.61
No (ref)	1.9		1.00	--	--	--
Child needs treatment or counseling		20.9***				
Yes	8.5		2.00***	1.48-2.70	1.81*	1.08-3.04
No (ref)	9.2		1.00	--	--	--
Doctor said child needed a specialist		41.3***				
Yes	4.0		3.65***	2.41-5.54	3.09***	2.03-4.71
No (ref)	13.7		1.00	--	--	--
Does the child have a behavior problem		6.68**				
Yes	6.3		1.51**	1.10-2.06	0.84	0.56-1.25
No (ref)	11.4		1.00	--	--	--
Mental health professional or services used		6.09*				
Yes	10.4		0.69**	0.51-0.93	1.09	0.76-1.55
No (ref)	7.3		1.00	--	--	--
Does the child have autism		2.16				
Yes	5.8		1.27	0.92-1.75	1.57*	1.06-2.32
No (ref)	11.9		1.00	--	--	--
Developmental delay		1.63				
Yes	9.4		0.83	0.61-1.11	1.01	0.59-1.74
No (ref)	8.4		1.00	--	--	--
Intellectual delay		0.34				
Yes	2.9		1.12	0.75-1.68	1.07	0.59-1.25
No (ref)	14.8		1.00	--	--	--

Note: All percentages are weighted proportions; Significance levels: * p<0.05, ** p<0.01 and ***p<0.001

For Peer Review Only

Table 3: Family Socioeconomic factors on Delayed for CSHCN						
Independent variables	Bivariate Analysis				Multivariate Analysis	
	Weighted (Yes %)	χ^2	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Employment Status						
Yes	12.0	45.0***	0.60**	0.43-0.83	0.71	0.46-1.05
No (ref)	5.7		1.00	--	--	--
Insurance						
Yes	16.2	12.7***	0.29***	0.14-0.60	0.34**	0.15-0.73
No (ref)	1.5		1.00	--	--	--
Medicaid or SCHIP						
Yes	10.2	3.11	1.30	0.97-1.74	1.96***	1.32-2.92
No (ref)	7.5		1.00	--	--	--
Have a regular doctor						
Yes	15.9	0.06	0.94	0.58-1.54	1.70	0.98-2.93
No (ref)	1.8		1.00	--	--	--
Has the child seen a doctor						
Yes	16.8	0.84	1.30	0.73-2.31	1.91	0.92-3.94
No (ref)	0.96		1.00	--	--	--

Note: All percentages are weighted proportions; Significance levels: * p<0.05, ** p<0.01 and ***p<0.001

For Peer Review Only

Table 4: Family Functioning on Delayed for CSHCN						
	Bivariate Analysis				Multivariate Analysis	
Independent variables	Weight (Yes %)	χ^2	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Positive coping with parenthood		5.43*				
Yes	15.7		0.52*	0.30-0.91	0.86	0.48-1.53
No (ref)	2.0		1.00	--	--	--
Family problems paying medical bills		82.1***				
Yes	8.8		4.11***	2.99-5.66	2.17***	1.52-3.09
No (ref)	8.9		1.00	--	--	--
Parental social support		6.22*				
Yes	13.8		0.64**	0.45-0.91	0.95	0.63-1.43
No (ref)	3.9		1.00	--	--	--
Frustration		0.65***				
Yes	15.3		10.4***	6.80-15.8	7.68***	5.06-11.6
No (ref)	2.4		--	--	--	--

Note: All percentages are weighted proportions; Significance levels: * p<0.05, ** p<0.01 and ***p<0.001

